

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/905,274	07/13/2001	Clifford Theodore Papsdorf	8609	2737
27752	7590 10/05/2006		EXAM	INER
	TER & GAMBLE CO	TAWFIK, SAMEH		
	UAL PROPERTY DIVIS LL BUSINESS CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			3721	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45224		DATE MAILED: 10/05/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/905,274	PAPSDORF, CLIFFORD				
Advisory Action		THEODORE				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2006 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendme	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	elater than SIX MONTHS from t AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTI	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the correspon f the shortened statutory period fice later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19 and 21-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	, ,					
<u> </u>		SAMEH H. TAWFIK PRIMARY EXAMINER				
		5-4				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner maintains that McConnell reference '495 discloses rollers 5 forming a friction nip between them, see Fig. 2, while the primary reference discloses the claimed first and second series of elongated protuberances (Figs. 2 and 4; via 36 and 37). That make it obvious to replace Tipper's pleating apparatus with the use of rollers as disclosed in McConnell, in order to make the feeding and drawing of the web through the machine easier and smoother.